

LEGISLATIVE VOTING GUIDE

LABISTS



03 07 05 President's Issues: Issues: Issues: Message Civil Justice **Education &** Workforce Environmental Development Quality 15 13 11 Bills Scored LA Driven Issues: Issues: Tax & Finance **Priorities** Trade, Transportation & Tourism 21 All-Stars **MVPs** Champions Session Snapshot Snapshot Thank You to The Honorable Methodology Our Top **Mentions** Stakeholders

president's message

Legislative leadership took bold steps in 2024 to restructure and reform our state's education system, economic development and tax structure. Guided by our LA Driven strategic plan, legislators turned past whispers of free enterprise into yells for economic opportunities which have begun to improve the lives of Louisianians across the state. We rallied the business community around a bold vision, and, most importantly, began turning that vision into real, measurable results.



WILL GREEN,
PRESIDENT & CEO, LABI



TOM COX, FOUNDER & CEO, GOLFBALLS.COM 2025 LABI CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD

ut as every business leader knows, a good year does not make a great company—nor does one session fix a state. Real change requires strong leaders never satisfied with "good enough," willing to stand up to the status quo.

That's why this legislative session, LABI returned to the Capitol at full throttle, motivated to continue moving Louisiana forward. In keeping with 2024's precedent, the 2025 session revved up in its very first week—a time normally reserved for procedural warmups and setting the playbook—with insurance reforms on the move and immediately grabbing headlines.

From there, we advanced long-sought policies that position Louisiana as a magnet for business investment and family opportunity. Our strategy was clear: create the conditions where investment and innovation are not only possible, but inevitable. And with even more at stake this year, to prove that bold, transformational reform is no longer the exception—it's the new standard for Louisiana's future.

We navigated a political landscape still influenced by entrenched interests and defenders of the status quo. Yet even in that environment, LABI and our coalition of change-makers worked hand-in-hand with legislative leaders to secure significant victories not only for the business community, but all Louisianans.

Many of the successes of this past session have been championed by advocates for a competitive business climate for decades, including long overdue civil justice reforms to curb abusive litigation and restore fairness and balance to our legal system. Others were key recommendations in our LA Driven Strategic Plan, such as aligning workforce development initiatives with employer needs to close the talent gaps and connect more Louisianans to career opportunities.

LABI also took decisive steps to streamline government operations, particularly in infrastructure and permitting.

These wins reflect more than legislative success—they signal a broader cultural shift in how Louisiana approaches economic competitiveness. And none of it would have been possible without the many dedicated lawmakers who stood firm in advancing pro-growth policies throughout the legislative process. LABI applauds the leadership and courage displayed by legislators who pushed forward reforms that will make Louisiana more competitive and attractive for investment. These leaders also stood firm against harmful measures that threatened to undermine Louisiana's position as a global energy leader—a critical pillar of our state's economy.

We also extend our sincere gratitude to the business leaders across Louisiana who showed up and made their voices heard throughout this session. Your engagement made a difference. Additionally, we are proud to have worked alongside a broad and diverse coalition of partners who brought expertise, energy and a willingness to find common ground, ensuring that the voice of the business community was amplified not just within the Capitol, but across our entire state.

This year marks the 45th year LABI has released its Legislative Voting Guide, a data-backed analysis with clear metrics that assess how lawmakers voted on the issues with the most impact to the state's economy and business community. As it has done for decades, LABI's 2025 Scorecard measures lawmakers not by party or personality, but by actions taken on critical policies that supported a stronger economy and a better future for Louisiana.

This session, dozens of legislators put Louisiana's future in the fast lane—and job creators across the state are grateful for their leadership. In this year's Scorecard, LABI proudly honors the lawmakers who took bold stands to protect free enterprise and champion the reforms that will fuel Louisiana's economy in 2025 and beyond:

- 13 Most Valuable Policymakers who voted 100% to prioritize free enterprise and growth for Louisiana's job creators;
- 28 All-Stars who voted 90% or more to advance LABI's platform of pro-growth measures to improve Louisiana's business climate; and
- 34 Honorable Mentions who voted 80% or more to help LABI in advancing jobs and driving economic opportunity.

Still, this session was not without its setbacks. Several priority bills stalled amid political disagreement and a handful of harmful measures advanced through the process, sending mixed signals to those considering investment or expansion in Louisiana. In a global economy where every state competes for the same jobs, talent and capital, even a short pause in progress can set us back.

Every time meaningful reform is shelved—or damaging policies gain traction—we lose precious momentum in the fierce race for jobs and investment.
Louisiana has everything it needs to win: abundant natural resources, a skilled and determined workforce and unmatched geographic advantages.
What's required now is the political will to keep pushing forward against the status quo and enemies of progress.

LABI will continue to be the voice for that momentum. We will stay at full throttle.





Excessive litigation in Louisiana results in 39,823 jobs lost statewide



& \$4.6 billion in Gross Product

of states limit or deny
damage recovery when
a plaintiff is primarily
responsible for an accident

The Perryman Group estimates that excessive lawsuits lead to inflation costs to Louisiana households of approximately

\$1,502 each year, an average of \$602 per person



"The purpose of the civil justice system is to provide for a fair, equitable forum for all parties, to provide appropriate compensation for legitimate claims and to incentivize responsible actions. It is not intended to be punitive, random or unpredictable. We create imbalance when we allow unreasonable damages and unpredictable outcomes—which lead to increased costs borne by consumers and businesses."



- Will Green on SB 231

This year, Louisiana made historic strides toward restoring fairness, balance and transparency to our justice system—after decades of roadblocks, watered-down compromises, and unchecked lawsuit abuse. For too long, our state's "jackpot justice" climate has driven up insurance rates for families and businesses, saddling Louisiana with among the highest auto insurance premiums in the nation.

LABI has long fought to rebalance the scales in our courts to make Louisiana a more attractive destination for business investment. Armed with data and working alongside Insurance Commissioner Tim Temple, key legislators and a coalition of business leaders, LABI helped secure passage of a robust legal reform package aimed at curbing lawsuit abuse in our state.

Key among the reform efforts was legislation transitioning Louisiana from a pure comparative fault state to a modified comparative fault state, effective January 1, 2026. Under this change, plaintiffs found to be 51% or more at fault will be barred from recovering damages—aligning Louisiana with 34 other states and joining the 80% of states that limit or deny recovery when plaintiffs are primarily responsible for an accident.

Another significant step forward was legislation that removes the blindfold from judges and juries in personal injury cases, allowing them to see both the amounts billed and the amounts paid in medical expenses after an accident, limiting recovery to amounts actually paid when private insurance or Medicare is involved. Lawmakers also expanded the state's No Pay, No Play law, further restricting damage recovery for motorists who break state law by driving without insurance.

After several failed attempts and a prior veto, the legislature also passed—and Gov. Landry signed—a repeal of the decades-old Housley Presumption, a legal doctrine that assumed subsequent injuries were caused by an accident without proof. Eliminating this presumption restores a basic principle of justice: plaintiffs must prove all elements of their case.

Still, some key reforms remain unfinished. A LABI-backed bill seeking to clarify the standard for bad faith by insurers—protecting against unfair penalties for routine delays or legitimate disputes—passed both chambers but was vetoed by the governor. Separately, legislation allowing reversionary trusts for future medical expenses never received a hearing in the upper chamber. The Judiciary A Committee did not take up the bill, along with several other LABI-supported legal reform measures, effectively stalling them for the year. The reversionary trust bill was ultimately reduced to a study resolution, but this issue remains a priority.

More concerning, a governor-backed bill imposing unnecessary insurance rate regulation risks undermining the gains made this year. The law grants sweeping rate-disapproval authority to the Insurance Commissioner in an approach that runs counter to the model used in other Southern states like Alabama, Florida and Georgia, where the Insurance Commissioner's rate-disapproval authority is tailored to market conditions to keep insurance both available and affordable. This new regulatory model could again drive insurers out of the state, reduce availability and increase reliance on the insurer of last resort.

Overall, this year's victories laid a strong foundation for the fair, balanced and transparent civil justice system Louisiana needs to compete—and that its citizens and businesses deserve. But lasting change won't come from one bill or one session. If we don't return in 2026 to finish the job—and avoid policies that drive insurers and investments elsewhere-Louisiana will continue to fall behind other states in the race for jobs, opportunity and growth.



"This bill is about the people of our state. It's about listening to business after business, individual after individual, tow truck companies, small businesses, the painter who has a small business in my district, constituents. When they're sitting there saying, 'I have trucks parked, I've closed down my business, going to Mississippi, I'm going to Texas."

- Rep. Emily Chenevert on HB 431

"You don't have to reinvent the wheel. Other states are doing it better than we are, so let's copy and do some things that other states do." - Sen. Alan Seabaugh on HB 431

"We have worked so hard the past few months. We've worked so hard to put together a package of bills. I'm so proud of a lot of these people I've worked with. I think that work is reflected in the bills we passed." - Rep. Gabe Firment

Getting Back to Basics is Working

Louisiana Leads in 4th Grade Reading Growth

42nd vs 16th 2024

Data released by the Louisiana Department of Education (LDOE) from an end-of-year literacy screener for students in grades K-3 revealed a 17-point increase in the percentage of students reading on or above grade level from the start to the end of the 2024-2025 school year.

Louisiana's workforce participation rate is

58.5% well below the national average of





62.7%

Nearly

Louisianans

have a criminal record

— over 1.1 million people.

14.8%



of released Louisiana prisoners are reincarcerated

within one year. Building a competitive Louisiana economy begins with investing in its people—from their earliest years through every phase of their education and careers. This session, LABI advanced and supported critical measures that strengthen the state's education-to-employment pipeline, helping ensure Louisiana's future workforce has the skills, opportunities and support to thrive in an evolving economy.

EARLY CHILDHOOD EDUCATION

Early childhood education has long been a core focus of LABI, and the organization remains steadfast in its commitment to expanding access to high quality childcare. This session, our team worked to ensure funding was restored to the Child Care Assistance Program (CCAP), which provides financial assistance to low-income families so they can work or attend school.

LABI also supported the successful effort to expand the School Readiness Tax Credit—doubling the amount a company may contribute to an employee's child care from \$5,000 to \$10,000—and to rename it the Workforce Readiness Tax Credit. LABI's advocacy reinforced the measure's importance to employers and the state's long-term talent strategy to spur greater business investment in early childhood education—an essential foundation for workforce development.

K-12 EDUCATION

Louisiana continues to make historic gains in early literacy as a result of its comprehensive approach that invests in teacher training and targeted student supports. Similar investments are now being made in math, and the early signs are encouraging. A LABI-supported measure approved by the legislature and the governor will accelerate this progress by requiring foundational numeracy instruction and testing for initial teacher certification. The new law also expands professional development requirements for K-3 math teachers and requires school systems to provide numeracy coaches in grades

K-3—giving teachers the on-site training, observation and feedback needed to strengthen student outcomes. Additionally, LABI championed new requirements for an annual return-oninvestment analysis for industry-based credentials earned in high school, helping ensure students pursue pathways aligned with high-wage, high-demand jobs.

WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT & REENTRY

Workforce development took center stage this session, reflecting priorities within LABI's LA Driven agenda. Two major "One Door to Work" reforms advanced, streamlining state workforce operations by reorganizing the Department of Children and Family Services (DCFS) and transferring several employment support services to the renamed "Louisiana Works" (formerly the Louisiana Workforce Commission) agency. These moves are designed to modernize Louisiana's fragmented workforce system and make it more responsive to the needs of job seekers and employers.

On reentry, LABI continued the fight to connect formerly incarcerated individuals with meaningful training opportunities by expanding eligibility to the MJ Foster Promise Program. This year's proposal was narrowed and further reduced through the legislative process, falling short of LABI's original goals but still marking meaningful progress. Although it failed to receive a Senate floor vote—defeated for the second consecutive year—it keeps the conversation alive for future reform. Similarly, an effort to include Louisiana Economic Development (LED) training programs under the MJ Foster umbrella ultimately stalled in the Senate.

From early childhood to career reentry, LABI championed a cohesive strategy to strengthen Louisiana's talent pipeline at every stage. Not every initiative crossed the finish line, but the structural reforms secured this year will help the state compete for talent, job creation and investment for years to come. One message remains clear: if Louisiana is serious about leading the South, we

must keep building a workforce system that starts with quality early education, delivers rigorous K-12 preparation and provides every citizen—regardless of their starting point—a clear and attainable pathway to meaningful, high-wage work. The work is far from finished, and LABI will be on the front lines until it is.



"There are people in this state right now that have some can be met with programs that, as you've heard, are here in our state in various around those agencies are preventing individuals from getting what they need."

- Jim Patterson on One Door package

"To go back, get an education, get a highlyskilled job and become a taxpaying citizen of this state—we need it for our workforce. We need it for all the companies that LABI

Rep. Paula Davis on HB 558

"This is extremely important. These folks need a second chance. They need the ability to earn a living, to be taxpaying citizens of our state and this is one step in that direction."

Rep. Barbara Freiberg on HB 558

CCS Benefits Your Local Communities

\$6-\$12 million

in local property taxes during the first five years of operation and

\$30 million

or more over a 20-year life of a project.



These tax dollars could fund services like schools and teachers' salaries, emergency response, roadway maintenance and more.



\$80 million in labor income and \$103 million in parish GDP,

creating opportunity for local jobs and improving quality of life in the community.

CCS projects in Louisiana have the potential to:

Retain more than 105,000

jobs



Create

4,290 new jobs every single year

Generate

2,500

new ongoing operation jobs





Produce 16 billion+

in investment

Louisiana is an energy state, and this session brought no shortage of battles over its energy future. Throughout the session, LABI and our coalition partners worked aggressively to defend the state's competitive position, blocking multiple attempts to derail carbon capture and sequestration (CCS).

Proposals ranged from dismantling the state's existing regulatory framework to piling on costly new mandates and even a bill declaring CCS illegal. Any of these would have driven billions of dollars in investment and thousands of high-paying jobs to competing states.

CCS isn't just an environmental strategy—it's a transformational economic opportunity that protects and creates jobs, preserves manufacturing infrastructure and maintains critical tax revenues. LABI remains committed to cutting through misinformation and advancing policies that keep Louisiana at the forefront of America's energy economy.

One of the most contentious debates stemmed from what began as an agency reorganization bill. Rather than a simple renaming effort for the Department of Energy and Natural Resources, the proposal morphed into a package of substantial policy changes with broad implications for several sectors of the energy industry. The legislation went through a series of last-minute revivals and rewrites, providing lawmakers, stakeholders and the public with little time for review of such substantive changes. These changes include provisions that established an administrative process

for resolving legacy oilfield disputes, rolling back good faith compromises reached by industry and landowners. Further, the bill placed restrictions on eminent domain authority for CCS pipeline operators, both of which LABI strongly opposed.

Although none of the main bills are scored in this year's Scorecard, a key House Floor amendment that would have stripped the harmful legacy lawsuit and anti-CCS language was scored due to its significance to the business community. While the amendment ultimately failed, LABI applauds the author Rep. Orgeron and the 25 lawmakers who voted for his amendment-standing up for transparency and defending energy investment in Louisiana.

LABI also successfully defeated legislation that would have imposed an onerous new regulatory regime on solar energy projects—limiting supply, raising costs and slowing investment at a time when energy demand is at an all-time high. And in a win for clarity and competitiveness, we helped pass a measure defining "pipeline" and "common carrier" to prevent unnecessary regulatory overreach.

From legacy lawsuits to CCS, solar and pipelines, LABI will continue to champion pro-growth energy policies that attract investment, protect jobs and strengthen Louisiana's position as a global energy leader for generations to come.

have energy primacy and on the Gulf Coast and the or crude pipeline."

- Rep. Joe Orgeron

"You've done a tremendous we've got about **20 projects** already announced, with a roughly \$75 billion economic impact and approximately 16,000 jobs—these are high-wage, quoted as earning 200%

 Will Green testifying in opposition of HB 396 by **Rep. Danny McCormick**



Prior to 2024, Louisiana was

1 of 3 states

franchise tax, a corporate income tax fully taxed business inventory.


The 2024 reforms are working, but we still have work to do.

Louisiana is

1 of 9
states that fully
tax
business
inventory

Louisiana is one of only two states

without centralized sales tax collection.



In a fiscal session dominated by other legislative priorities, tax and finance policy played a quieter role than usual. Still, important debates surfaced around further restructuring of the tax code, fiscal transparency and long-term economic competitiveness for Louisiana. These discussions, while not all resulting in legislative wins this year, will continue to shape the path forward for reform-minded lawmakers and business advocates.

At the center of the tax policy conversation was the long-standing issue of Louisiana's business inventory tax, widely viewed as a major barrier to growth and capital investment. During last November's special session on taxes, the Legislature sunset the inventory tax credit effective July 1, 2026. This was in anticipation of the ratification of an extensive rewrite of constitutional tax provisions placed on the ballot last spring. However, that constitutional amendment, which included an option for parishes to exempt business inventory from taxation, failed to gain voter approval. This leaves certain businesses facing an increased property tax liability next year without the state credit to offset that burden.

Multiple efforts emerged during the session to address this imbalance, offering both temporary reprieve and long-term structural solutions. LABI advocated for targeted relief that would have given impacted businesses a reasonable transition period before having to assume the full weight of this tax burden. Unfortunately, no consensus was reached beyond a second attempt at creating the constitutional local option exemption that will once again go before voters in April. However, the groundwork was laid for a continued endeavor in the months ahead to work toward removal of this problematic tax.

Meanwhile, LABI successfully defended against efforts to increase the homestead exemption and expand special assessments provided for certain property owners, preventing a shift of the property tax burden to businesses, farmers, renters and middleclass homeowners already stretched too thin. LABI also supported bills to reduce Louisiana's high premium tax that raises insurance costs. While these proposals failed to pass, a resolution was adopted to form a study group to examine the tax and its impact on insureds with an eye toward formulating policy recommendations for future legislative consideration.

Beyond tax policy, LABI championed enhanced fiscal accountability and transparency in the use of public dollars. Legislation was passed to require non-governmental organizations (NGOs) receiving state funding to report detailed financial information through the Louisiana Checkbook system, ensuring these expenditures are subject to the same standards of oversight expected elsewhere in state government. This reform represents a practical step toward restoring public trust in how taxpayer dollars are spent and aligns with the principles of transparency and efficiency Louisianans deserve.

On the economic development front, legislation was enacted to better align Louisiana's incentive programs and state agencies with job creation and investment outcomes. New approaches were adopted to target high-impact jobs and modernize how Louisiana Economic Development operates. While these measures are only the beginning, they reflect a broader desire to ensure the state is competing effectively for privatesector investment.

LABI will continue to advocate for policies that simplify our tax code, promote responsible fiscal stewardship and support sustainable economic growth. Though this session offered fewer headline-making tax changes, it reaffirmed the importance of staying the course on reforms that make Louisiana a more attractive state in which to do business.

that it is a bad tax... The local that it is a bad tax, but they way that does not leave their finances, and we will

- Jim Patterson on HB 383 by Rep. Ken Brass to extend the inventory tax credit

bill is to address the fact impacts and whether we

- Sen. Heather Cloud on SB 245

Deteriorated roads, traffic congestion and crashes cost Louisiana drivers

Sold Dillion each year.

Statewide,

50%

of Louisiana's major roads are in

1000

mediocre condition.

Louisiana's economy depends on the ability to move goods, people and ideas quickly and safely. Our ports, waterways, highways and rail lines connect local businesses to markets across the country and around the world. That connectivity is one of our state's greatest competitive advantages—but a deteriorating transportation network puts that edge in jeopardy, causing the system powering our economy to become the bottleneck that is holding our state back.

Traffic congestion in key metropolitan areas costs businesses and commuters millions of dollars in lost productivity each year. Bridges in need of repair or replacement limit freight movement, increase travel times and create safety concerns. These aren't just transportation problems—they're threats to economic development, tourism and quality of life.

Business leaders recognize that modern, reliable transportation networks are the backbone of a competitive economy—attracting new industries, helping existing companies expand and creating jobs through construction and maintenance. Over the long term, robust infrastructure gives states the edge in competing for business investment and top talent. Louisiana's neighbors have shown that sustained, strategic infrastructure investment can transform economic prospects. Our state must follow suit

infrastructure that matches the needs of a modern economy.

During the 2025 legislative session, LABI advocated for a bold package of reforms that modernize Louisiana's transportation system and agency structure. These common-sense solutions to improve efficiency, transparency and accountability will allow our state to tackle its nearly \$20 billion infrastructure backlog, complete long-overdue projects and usher in sweeping changes to the Department of Transportation and Development (DOTD).

These bills include streamlining DOTD to improve project maintenance and operations performance, expediting transportation project delivery, cutting the bureaucratic delays that slow critical infrastructure improvements and tapping into private-sector expertise. We also continue to advocate for targeted state-level investments in both maintaining existing assets and planning for smart growth.

For Louisiana's trade, transportation and tourism industries to keep thriving, the path forward is clear: strategic investment in infrastructure is an investment in competitiveness, safety and opportunity. By committing to the modernization of our transportation network, we won't just keep pace with our competitors—we'll surpass them, unlocking the full potential of our people, our businesses and our



– Rep. Ryan Bourriaque **Package**

- Patrick Connick, Chairman, Senate **Transportation** Committee



LA driven priorities

LABI's LA Driven strategic plan is more than a vision—it's the engine moving Louisiana toward economic leadership in the South and a stronger, more competitive future.

LA Driven is our state's roadmap for transformation, anchored in four pillars that drive competitiveness: Education & Talent Supply, Economic Development, Tax & Business Climate and Safety & Resiliency.

Now woven into LABI's Program of Work, this strategic framework shapes every facet of the organization's advocacy, both during and outside of the legislative session.

While not every LA Driven bill is scored in the 2025 Scorecard, this session saw 48 legislative wins in 2025—and 100 LA Driven—approved measures over the last two years—proof that Louisiana's leaders are committed to sustained progress in growth, competitiveness, workforce readiness and public safety.

LA driven focus areas:



education & talent supply

Early Childhood Education K-12 Education Higher Education Workforce Development



tax & business climate

Tax Policy
Legal Climate & Insurance Market
Regulatory Policy
Infrastructure



economic development

Economic Diversification
Entrepreneurship
Main Street Revitalization
Emerging Industries Incentives



safety & resiliency

Public Safety
Judicial Modernization
Healthy Outcomes

Louisiana can be an economic leader in the South by 2030.

LABI's LA Driven is your journey as we steer the state toward success.

Workforce development saw breakthrough reforms—streamlining agencies, aligning training with employer needs and cutting bureaucracy to strengthen our talent pipeline. Lawmakers also expanded career pathways by creating a work-based learning credit for apprenticeships and internships, giving employers new incentives to invest in hands-on training. In addition, Louisiana students will now graduate better prepared for the challenges of adulthood with the addition of financial literacy requirements in high school curricula. Together, these changes help close the gap between opportunity and readiness while ensuring more Louisianans can step directly into high-wage, high-demand jobs.

This session also delivered important progress for Louisiana's students and families. Critical school safety measures were enacted, including new requirements for detailed school mapping data to assist first responders and expanded access to mental health screenings for public school students. These efforts strengthen both physical safety and mental well-being, recognizing that secure, supported students are essential to a thriving future.

The largest focus of the 2025 session was legal reform, an LA Driven issue paramount to creating a predictable business climate for the state.

Lawmakers advanced long-overdue measures to rebalance Louisiana's legal climate, making it fairer, more transparent and less burdensome on job creators. By addressing abusive litigation practices and creating a more predictable system for resolving disputes, these reforms represent a tremendous step toward improving the state's competitiveness.



In addition to legislative action on LA Driven priorities, significant progress is being made outside of the Capitol as well. The Boot, an initiative supported by LABI dedicated career opportunities, housing support and other services to attract veterans to Louisiana hit a major milestone in its first ten months of operations. The organization successfully placed its 100th transitioning service member into a career, advancing our shared goal of boosting veteran retention in Louisiana by connecting them



TENER MALOR ROLLS

This is momentum.

And as LABI continues to champion forward-thinking policies that grow our economy and uplift every corner of our state, LA Driven will remain the unifying vision that shapes a more competitive and prosperous Louisiana.

Bills Scored

Civil Justice HB 148

Amendment

Rep. Brian Glorioso

(R-Slidell)

This amendment fundamentally restructures the insurance rate setting process by granting the Insurance Commissioner sweeping authority to disapprove rate filings.

A vote AGAINST the amendment was a vote WITH LABI.

House Vote: 67-33

HB 148

Final Passage

Rep. Jeff Wiley (R-Maurepas)

This bill imposes unnecessary rate regulation on Louisiana's insurance market, threatening the affordability and availability of insurance in our state.

A vote AGAINST the bill was a vote WITH LABI.

House Vote: 68-34 | Senate Vote: 26-9

HB 427

Rep. Dennis Bamburg

(R-Bossier City)

.......

This bill would have allowed for the creation of reversionary trusts, which are dedicated funds set aside to pay for a plaintiff's future medical expenses as they accrue.

A vote FOR the bill was a vote WITH LABI.

House Vote: 63-23

..........

HB 431

Rep. Emily Chenevert (R-Baton Rouge)

.......

This bill shifts Louisiana to a modified comparative fault system, barring damage recovery for claimants found to be 51 percent or more at fault in an accident.

A vote FOR the bill was a vote WITH LABI.

House Vote: 67-26 | Senate Vote: 28-9

HB 434

Rep. Jason DeWitt

(R-Tioga)

This bill expands Louisiana's No Pay, No Play law by raising the bar for recovery to \$100,000 for bodily injury and property damage for motorists involved in an accident who fail to comply with the state's mandatory insurance law.

A vote FOR the bill was a vote WITH LABI.

House Vote: 76-14 | Senate Vote: 28-9

HB 450

Rep. Michael Melerine

(R-Shreveport)

......

This bill repeals the judicially created Housley Presumption, shifting the burden of proof back to the plaintiff to prove that their injuries were caused by the accident, as is standard in other states.

A vote FOR the bill was a vote WITH LABI.

House Vote: 68-24 | Senate Vote: 28-9

SB 111

Sen. Alan Seabaugh (R-Many)

This bill would have defined what constitutes bad faith by insurers, protecting insurers from being unfairly penalized for routine delays, technical issues or legitimate coverage disputes.

A vote FOR the bill was a vote WITH LABI.

......

House Vote: 56-44 | Senate Vote: 26-13

SB 231

Sen. Mike Reese

(R-Leesville)

This bill allows judges and juries to see evidence of both the amounts billed and paid in medical expenses after an accident, limiting recovery to what was actually paid in cases where private insurance and Medicare were used.

A vote FOR the bill was a vote WITH LABI.

House Vote: 81-15 Senate Vote Final Passage: 27-8 Senate Vote Concurrence: 30-6

Education & Workforce Development HB 373

Rep. Jason Hughes

(D-New Orleans)

This bill requires the Workforce Investment Council to perform an annual return on investment analysis relative to industry-based credentials earned in high school and provides for the collection and distribution of student data for this purpose.

A vote FOR the bill was a vote WITH LABI.

......

House Vote: 101-0 | Senate vote - 38-0

HB 617

Rep. Kim Carver

(R-Mandeville)

This bill reorganizes the Department of Children and Family Services (DCFS) to improve efficiency and coordination across agencies.

A vote FOR the bill was a vote WITH LABI.

House Vote: 98-0 | Senate Vote: 39-0

HB 624

......

...........

Rep. Stephanie Berault

(R-Slidell)

This bill creates a "One-Door" system by transferring key family and support programs from DCFS to the newly renamed "Louisiana Works"—currently the Louisiana Workforce Commission.

A vote FOR the bill was a vote WITH LABI.

House Vote: 98-0 | Senate Vote: 38-0

......

Energy & Environmental Quality HB 75

Rep. Danny McCormick (R-Oil City)

This bill would have established additional compensation requirements for mineral owners within a carbon dioxide storage unit, deterring the CCS development in Louisiana and sending the substantial investment to other states.

A vote AGAINST the bill was a vote WITH LABI.

House Vote: 37-61

HB 494

Rep. Jessica Domangue

(R-Houma)

This bill clarifies the definitions of "common carrier" and "pipe line" to specify that pipes used solely for terminalling services—including metering and truck unloading facilities—are not subject to PSC regulation.

A vote FOR the bill was a vote WITH LABI.

House Vote: 68-26

HB 615

Rep. Brett Geymann

(R-Lake Charles)

This bill would have created unnecessary bureaucratic hurdles for advanced energy projects, restricting energy supply and limiting choices for businesses.

A vote AGAINST the bill was a vote WITH LABI.

House Vote: 46-53

SB 244

Amendment

Rep. Joe Orgeron (R-Cut Off)

This amendment would have stripped harmful provisions proposed to SB 244 relative to legacy lawsuit resolution and new regulatory hurdles for carbon capture projects.

A vote FOR the amendment was a vote WITH LABI.

House Vote: 25-71

Tax & Finance HB 383

Rep. Ken Brass (D-Vacherie)

This bill proposed a one-year phase down of the business inventory tax credit at 50 percent in 2026, with the credit expiring in July of 2027. This LABI-backed bill aimed to offer C-corporations a brief window of time to adjust to the increased tax burden.

A vote FOR the bill was a vote WITH LABI.

House Vote: 98-4

SB 245

Sen. Heather Cloud

(R-Turkey Creek)

This bill strengthens transparency around the use of public dollars by requiring non-governmental organizations (NGOs) that receive state funding to report detailed financial information through the Louisiana Checkbook system.

A vote FOR the bill was a vote WITH LABI.

......

House Vote: 72-28 | Senate Vote: 28-9

Trade, Transportation & Tourism

Rep. Ryan Bourriaque

(R-Abbeville)

This bill creates the Office of Transformation within DOTD and strategically reorganizes offices within the department.

A vote FOR the bill was a vote WITH LABI.

House Vote: 97-0 | Senate Vote: 35-0

HB 640

..........

Rep. Ryan Bourriaque (R-Abbeville)

This bill creates the office of Louisiana Highway Construction, which will be tasked with reimagining transportation project delivery and operations.

A vote FOR the bill was a vote WITH LABI.

.......

House Vote: 96-2 | Senate Vote: 35-0





champions

SENATE

SEN. ROBERT ALLAIN

SEN. ADAM BASS

SEN. RICK EDMONDS

SEN. MIKE FESI

SEN. VALARIE HODGES

SEN. BLAKE MIGUEZ

SEN. BOB OWEN

SEN. THOMAS PRESSLY

SEN. ALAN SEABAUGH

SEN. BILL WHEAT

HOUSE

REP. PAULA DAVIS

REP. BARBARA FREIBERG

REP. JOE ORGERON

SENATE

ABRAHAM	91%
CATHEY	91%
CLOUD	91%
FOIL	91%
HENRY	91%
KLEINPETER	91%
LAMBERT	91%
MIZELL	91%
MORRIS	91%
MYERS	91%
REESE	91%
STINE	91%
TALBOT	91%

MCMATH	90%
WOMACK	90%

HOUSE

DOMANGUE	98%
ZERINGUE	98%
HENRY	93%
BAMBURG	92%
BERAULT	92%
CARVER	92%
ILLG	92%
MCMAKIN	92%
HEBERT	91%
MELERINE	91%
BACALA	90%
WRIGHT	90%
WYBLE	90%

SENATE

CONNICK	84%
MILLER	84%
HENSGENS	81%

HOUSE

BAYHAM	89%
CARLSON	86%
CHENEVERT	86%
COATES	86%
DICKERSON	86%
FIRMENT	86%
GALLE	86%
TARVER	86%
GEYMANN	85%
BOYER	84%
CREWS	84%
EDMONSTON	84%
EGAN	84%
LANDRY, J.	84%
OWEN	84%
DEWITT	83%

BILLINGS	82%
ECHOLS	82%
GADBERRY	82%
GLORIOSO	82%
JOHNSON, M	. 82%
ST. BLANC	82%
THOMAS	88%
TURNER	82%
VILLIO	82%
MCFARLAND	82%
BEAULLIEU	80%
BOURRIAQUE	80%
SCHLEGEL	80%
SPELL	80%
WILDER	80%

Renderations of the second of

s e n a t e 2025 snapshot

ABRAHAM	91%
ALLAIN	100%
BARROW	36%
BASS	100%
BOUDREAUX	23%
BOUIE	23%
CARTER	26%
CATHEY	91%
CLOUD	91%
CONNICK	84%
DUPLESSIS	23%
EDMONDS	100%
FESI	100%

FOIL	91%
HARRIS	15%
HENRY	91%
HENSGENS	81%
HODGES	100%
JACKSON-ANDREWS	23%
JENKINS	23%
KLEINPETER	91%
LAMBERT	91%
LUNEAU	28%
MCMATH	90%
MIGUEZ	100%
MILLER	84%

<u>, </u>	
MIZELL	91%
MORRIS	91%
MYERS	91%
OWEN	100%
PRESSLY	100%
PRICE	23%
REESE	91%
SEABAUGH	100%
SELDERS	33%
STINE	91%
TALBOT	91%
WHEAT	100%
WOMACK	90%

HOUSE 2025 Snapshot

ADAMS	56%
AMEDEE	78%
BACALA	90%
BAGLEY	78%
BAMBURG	92%
BAYHAM	89%
BEAULLIEU	80%
BERAULT	92%
BILLINGS	82%
BOURRIAQUE	80%
BOYD	50%
BOYER	84%
BRASS	56%
BRAUD	69%
BROWN	45%
BRYANT	18%
BUTLER	78%
CARLSON	86%
CARPENTER	21%
CARRIER	64%
CARTER, R.	30%
CARTER, W.	17 %
CARVER	92%
CHASSION	27%
CHENEVERT	86%
COATES	86%
COX	78%
CREWS	84%
DAVIS	100%
DESHOTEL	68%
DEVILLIER	76%
DEWITT	83%
DICKERSON	86%
DOMANGUE	98%
ECHOLS	82%
EDMONSTON	84%

EGAN	84%
EMERSON .	76%
FARNUM	76%
FIRMENT	86%
FISHER	42%
FONTENOT	76%
FREEMAN	N/A
FREIBERG	100%
GADBERRY	82%
GALLE	86%
GEYMANN	85%
GLORIOSO	82%
GREEN	24%
HEBERT	91%
HENRY	93%
HILFERTY	42%
HORTON	74%
HUGHES	36%
ILLG	92%
JACKSON	54%
JOHNSON, M.	82%
JOHNSON, T.	51%
JORDAN	40%
KERNER	64%
KNOX	42%
LACOMBE	66%
LAFLEUR	47%
LANDRY, J.	84%
LANDRY, T.	N/A
LANDRY, M.	36%
LARVADAIN	36%
LYONS	40%
MACK	70%
MARCELLE	26%
MCCORMICK	72 %
MCFARLAND	82%


MCMAHEN	74%
MCMAKIN	92%
MELERINE	91%
MENA	40%
MILLER	40%
MOORE	28%
MUSCARELLO	70%
NEWELL	40%
ORGERON	100%
OWEN	84%
PHELPS	22%
RISER	79%
ROMERO	76%
SCHAMERHORN	78%
SCHLEGEL	80%
SPELL	80%
ST. BLANC	82%
STAGNI	72%
TARVER	86%
TAYLOR	40%
THOMAS	82%
THOMPSON	60%
TURNER	82%
VENTRELLA	69%
VILLIO	82%
WALTERS	40%
WILDER	80%
WILEY	74%
WILLARD	40%
WRIGHT	90%
WYBLE	90%
YOUNG	36%
ZERINGUE	98%

The following scores could not be calculated because the legislators were not present and voting for at least 80% of LABI's scoreable votes.

REP. AIMEE FREEMAN REP. TERRY LANDRY




















SEN. ALAN SEABAUGH DISTRICT 31



HOUSE

myps



REP. PAULA DAVIS DISTRICT 69



REP. BARBARA FREIBERG
DISTRICT 70



REP. JOE ORGERON
DISTRICT 54

NAT








SEN. HEATHER CLOUD






SEN. CALEB KLEINPETER DISTRICT 17









SEN. BRACH MYERS DISTRICT 23











OUSE



















REP. MICHAEL MELERINE DISTRICT 6







HONORABLE Mentions

senate

SEN. PATRICK CONNICK DISTRICT 8 84%

SEN. GREG MILLER DISTRICT 19 84%

SEN. BOB HENSGENS

DISTRICT 26 84%

house

REP. MIKE BAYHAM DISTRICT 103 89%

REP. JOSH CARLSON DISTRICT 43 86%

REP. EMILY CHENEVERT DISTRICT 66 86%

REP. KIM COATES **DISTRICT 73 86%**

REP. KELLEE DICKERSON DISTRICT 64 86%

REP. GABE FIRMENT **DISTRICT 22 86%**

REP. JAY GALLE

DISTRICT 104 86%

REP. PHILLIP TARVER
DISTRICT 36 86%

REP. BRETT GEYMANN DISTRICT 35 85%

REP. CHAD BOYER **DISTRICT 46 84%**

REP. RAYMOND CREWS
DISTRICT 8 84%

REP. KATHY EDMONSTON

DISTRICT 88 84%

REP. PETER EGAN **DISTRICT 74 84%**

REP. JACOB LANDRY DISTRICT 49 84%

REP. CHUCK OWEN

DISTRICT 30 84%

REP. JASON DEWITT DISTRICT 25 83%

REP. MICHAEL ECHOLS

DISTRICT 14 82%

REP. FOY GADBERRY DISTRICT 15 82%

REP. BRIAN GLORIOSO DISTRICT 90 82%

REP. MIKE JOHNSON DISTRICT 27 82%

REP. JACK MCFARLAND DISTRICT 13 82%

REP. VINNEY ST. BLANC **DISTRICT 50 82%**

REP. POLLY THOMAS DISTRICT 80 82%

REP. CHRIS TURNER DISTRICT 12 82%

REP. DEBBIE VILLIO
DISTRICT 79 82%

REP. BEAU BEAULLIEU **DISTRICT 48 80%**

REP. RYAN BOURRIAQUE DISTRICT 47 80%

REP. LAURIE SCHLEGEL DISTRICT 82 80%

REP. ANNIE SPELL **DISTRICT 45 80%**

REP. ROGER WILDER DISTRICT 71 80%



A check indicates the legislator voted with LABI's position on a bill.



An X indicates the legislator voted opposite of LABI's position on a bill. An X will deduct from their overall score.



An A indicates an excused absence or that a legislator was absent when LABI did not need their support. An A will not deduct from their overall score.



An A- indicates that the legislator was absent when LABI needed their support on a vote. An A- will deduct from their overall

Note: If a legislator was not present and voting for at least 80% of LABI's scorable votes, the legislator will not receive a score. Points earned this year will be carried over to future Scorecards and will be factored into cumulative scores.

METHODOLOGY Senate

					GOOD FAITH	MEDICAL TRANSPARENCY	MEDICAL TRANSPARENCY	T.R.U.S.T.ACT	INSURANCE REGULATIONS	WORKFORCE ALIGNMENT
					SB111 FINALPASSAGE	SB231 FINALPASSAGE	SB231 CONCURRENCE	SB245 FINALPASSAGE	HB148 FINALPASSAGE	HB373
	2024	20	25 SCO	RE	POINTS(Y) 75 WITHLABI 26 AGAINSTLABI 13	POINTS(Y) 100 WITHLABI 27 AGAINST LABI 8	POINTS(Y) 100 WITHLABI 30 AGAINSTLABI 6	Points(y) 50 Withlabi 28 Againstlabi 9	POINTS(N) 100 WITHLABI 9 AGAINSTLABI 26	POINTS(Y) 25 WITHLABI 38 AGAINSTLABI 0
		POSSIBLE	TOTAL	SCORE	ABSENT 0 EXCUSED 0	ABSENT 3 EXCUSED 1	ABSENT 3 EXCUSED 0	ABSENT 1 EXCUSED 1	ABSENT 0 EXCUSED 4	ABSENT 0 EXCUSED 1
ABRAHAM	82%	1075	975	91%	⊘	⊘	⊘	⊘	8	⊘
ALLAIN	93%	1075	1075	100%	⊘	⊘	⊘	Ø	Ø	⊘
BARROW	12%	975	350	36%	8	A-	⊘	Д-	A	⊘
BASS	83%	1075	1075	100%	⊘	⊘	⊘	Ø	Ø	⊘
BOUDREAUX	17%	1075	250	23%	8	8	8	×	*	✓
BOUIE	12%	1075	250	23%	8	8	Α-	8	×	⊘
CARTER	12%	975	250	26%	8	Α-	8	8	A	⊘
CATHEY	85%	1075	975	91%	⊘	⊘	⊘	Ø	×	⊘
CLOUD	88%	1075	975	91%	⊘	<	⊘	⊘	8	⊘
CONNICK	71%	1075	900	84%	8	⊘	Ø	Ø	8	⊘
DUPLESSIS	12%	1075	250	23%	8	8	Α-	8	8	⊘
EDMONDS	93%	975	975	100%	⊘	⊘	Ø	⊘	⊘	⊘
FESI	85%	1075	1075	100%	⊘	⊘	⊘	⊘	⊘	⊘
FOIL	59%	1075	975	91%	⊘	⊘	Ø	⊘	8	⊘
HARRIS	12%	975	150	15%	8	Α-	8	×	A	⊘
HENRY	80%	1075	975	91%	⊘	⊘	Ø	⊘	8	⊘
HENSGENS	85%	1075	875	81%	⊘	⊘	⊘	⊘	8	⊘
HODGES	85%	975	975	100%	⊘	⊘	⊘	⊘	A	⊘
JACKSON-ANDREWS	14%	1075	250	23%	8	8	Α-	8	8	⊘
JENKINS	12%	1075	250	23%	8	8	8	8	8	⊘
KLEINPETER	85%	1075	975	91%	⊘	⊘	⊘	Ø	8	⊘
LAMBERT	41%	1075	975	91%	⊘	⊘	⊘	⊘	8	⊘
LUNEAU	17%	1075	300	28%	8	8	8	⊘	8	
MCMATH	79%	975	875	90%	⊘	⊘	⊘	⊘	8	⊘
MIGUEZ	93%	1075	1075	100%	⊘	⊘	⊘	⊘	₩	
MILLER	41%	1075	900	84%	8	⊘	⊘	⊘	8	<u> </u>
MIZELL	95%	1075	975	91%		⊘	Ø	O	8	
MORRIS	44%	1075	975	91%	⊘	⊘	⊘	⊘	8	⊘
MYERS	92%	1075	975	91%	⊘	⊘	Ø	O	8	
OWEN	63%	1075	1075	100%	⊘	⊘	⊘	⊘	⊘	⊘
PRESSLY	95%	1075	1075	100%	⊘	⊘	⊘	⊘	Ø	
PRICE	17%	1075	250	23%	8	8	8	8	8	⊘
REESE	85%	1075	975	91%		⊘	Ø	O	8	
SEABAUGH	95%	900	900	100%	⊘	⊘	⊘	A	⊘	A
SELDERS	50%	1075	350	33%	8	8	⊘	8	8	~
STINE	85%	1075	975	91%	⊘	⊘	⊘	Ø	8	⊘
TALBOT	92%	1075	975	91%		⊘	⊘	O	8	
WHEAT	83%	975	1075	100%	⊘	⊘	⊘	⊘	⊘	⊘
WOMACK	68%	925	875	90%		A	⊘	O	8	⊘
HOMIAGIN	00/6	323	5/3	30/0					•	

METHODOLOGY Senate

					COMPARATIVE			DOTD	ONEDOOR	ONEDOOR	DOTD
					FAULT	NOPAY, NOPLAY	HOUSLEY	REORG	DCFS	LWC	REORG
					HB431 FINALPASSAGE	HB434 FINAL PASSAGE	HB450 FINALPASSAGE	HB528 FINALPASSAGE	HB617 FINALPASSAGE	HB624 FINALPASSAGE	HB640 FINALPASSAGE
					POINTS(Y) 250 WITHLABI 28	POINTS(Y) 75 WITHLABI 28	POINTS(Y) 75 WITHLABI 28	POINTS(Y) 50 WITHLABI 35	POINTS(Y) 25 WITHLABI 39	POINTS(Y) 100 WITHLABI 38	POINTA(Y) 50 WITHLABI 35
	2024	20	025 SCC	ORE	AGAINSTLABI 9 - ABSENT 2	AGAINSTLABI 9 ABSENT 2	AGAINSTLABI 9 ABSENT 2	AGAINSTLABI 0 ABSENT 1	AGAINSTLABI 0 ABSENT 0	WITHLABI 38 AGAINSTLABI 0 ABSENT 1	AGAINSTLABI 0 ABSENT 1
		POSSIBLE	TOTAL	SCORE	EXCUSED 0	EXCUSED 0	EXCUSED 0	EXCUSED 3	EXCUSED 0	EXCUSED 0	EXCUSED 3
ABRAHAM	82%	1075	975	91%	⊘	⊘	⊘	Ø	⊘	⊘	⊘
ALLAIN	93%	1075	1075	100%	⊘	Ø	Ø	Ø	⊘	Ø	Ø
BARROW	12%	975	350	36%	8	8	8	Ø	⊘	⊘	⊘
BASS	83%	1075	1075	100%	⊘	Ø	Ø	Ø	⊘	Ø	⊘
BOUDREAUX	17%	1075	250	23%	8	8	8	Ø	⊘	Ø	⊘
BOUIE	12%	1075	250	23%	8	8	8	Ø	⊘	⊘	⊘
CARTER	12%	975	250	26%	Α-	Α-	Α-	Ø	⊘	⊘	⊘
CATHEY	85%	1075	975	91%	⊘	Ø	Ø	Ø	⊘	Ø	Ø
CLOUD	88%	1075	975	91%	⊘	⊘	⊘	⊘	⊘	⊘	Ø
CONNICK	71%	1075	900	84%	Ø	Ø	⊘	Ø	⊘	Ø	⊘
DUPLESSIS	12%	1075	250	23%	8	8	8	⊘	⊘	✓	⊘
EDMONDS	93%	975	975	100%	⊘	⊘	⊘	A	Ø	⊘	A
FESI	85%	1075	1075	100%	Ø	✓	⊘	⊘	⊘	✓	✓
FOIL	59%	1075	975	91%	Ø	⊘	⊘	⊘	Ø	✓	⊘
HARRIS	12%	975	150	15%	Α-	Д-	A-	✓	⊘	A-	✓
HENRY	80%	1075	975	91%	Ø	Ø	⊘	⊘	Ø	⊘	⊘
HENSGENS	85%	1075	875	81%	O	⊘	⊘	A-	⊘	✓	A-
HODGES	85%	975	975	100%	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	⊘	⊘	⊘
JACKSON-ANDREWS		1075	250	23%	8	8	8	⊘	⊘	⊘	⊘
JENKINS	12%	1075	250	23%	8	8	8	Ø	Ø	⊘	⊘
KLEINPETER	85%	1075	975	91%	O	⊘	⊘	Ø	⊘	⊘	⊘
LAMBERT	41%	1075	975	91%	⊘	Ø	Ø	Ø	⊘	Ø	⊘
LUNEAU	17%	1075	300	28%	8	8	8	Ø	⊘	⊘	⊘
MCMATH	79%	975	875	90%	⊘	Ø	Ø	A	⊘	Ø	A
MIGUEZ	93%	1075	1075	100%	⊘	✓	⊘	Ø	⊘	⊘	⊘
MILLER	41%	1075	900	84%	⊘	Ø	Ø	Ø	⊘	Ø	Ø
MIZELL	95%	1075	975	91%	⊘	Ø	⊘	Ø	⊘	Ø	Ø
MORRIS	44%	1075	975	91%	⊘	Ø	⊘	Ø	Ø	Ø	⊘
MYERS	92%	1075	975	91%	⊘	Ø	⊘	⊘	⊘	⊘	⊘
OWEN	63%	1075	1075	100%	⊘	Ø	⊘	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø
PRESSLY	95%	1075	1075	100%	⊘	⊘	✓	⊘	⊘	<	⊘
PRICE	17%	1075	250	23%	×	8	8	Ø	Ø	⊘	⊘
REESE	85%	1075	975	91%	⊘	⊘	⊘	⊘	⊘	⊘	⊘
SEABAUGH	95%	900	900	100%	Ø	Ø	Ø	A	Ø	Ø	A
SELDERS	50%	1075	350	33%	8	×	8	⊘	⊘	⊘	⊘
STINE	85%	1075	975	91%	Ø	Ø	⊘	Ø	⊘	Ø	⊘
TALBOT	92%	1075	975	91%	⊘	Ø	⊘	⊘	⊘	⊘	⊘
WHEAT	83%	975	1075	100%	Ø	Ø	⊘	Ø	⊘	Ø	Ø
WOMACK	68%	925	875	90%	O	⊘	⊘	⊘	⊘	<	⊘

METHODOLOGY **NOUSE**

					ccs	INSURANCE REGULATIONS	INSURANCE REGULATIONS	WORKFORCE ALIGNMENT	INVENTORY TAX
					HB75	HB148	HB148	HB373	HB383
					FINALPASSAGE	AMENDMENT	FINALPASSAGE	FINALPASSAGE	FINALPASSAGE
	000				Points(n) 50 Withlabi 61	POINTS(N) 100 WITH LABI 33	POINTS(N) 25 WITHLABI 34	POINTS(Y) 25 WITHLABI 101	POINTS(Y) 75 WITHLABI 98
	2024		25 SCO		AGAINSTLABI 37 ABSENT 0	AGAINSTLABI 67 ABSENT 3	AGAINSTLABI 68 ABSENT 0	AGAINSTLABI 0 ABSENT 3	AGAINSTLABI 4 ABSENT 2
		POSSIBLE	EARNED	SCORE	EXCUSED 7	EXCUSED 2	EXCUSED 3	EXCUSED 1	EXCUSED 1
ADAMS	30%	1250	700	56%	8	8	*	⊘	⊘
AMEDEE		1250	975	78%	8	Ø	Ø	⊘	8
BACALA	86%	1250	1125	90%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	8	*	⊘	●
BAGLEY	65%	1250	975	78 %	8	8	8	Ø	⊘
BAMBURG	88%	1250	1150	92%	○	©	⊘	⊘	⊘
BAYHAM		1175	1050	89%	Ø	8	⊘		
BEAULLIEU	80%	1225	975	80%	O	*	8	Ø	⊘
BERAULT	85%	1250	1150	92%	⊘	Ø	Ø	Ø	⊘
BILLINGS	88%	1250	1025	82%	⊘	Α-	8	Ø	⊘
BOURRIAQUE	80%	1250	1000	80%	Ø	8	8	Ø	⊘
BOYD	45%	1250	625	50%	⊘	8	8	Ø	⊘
BOYER	78%	1250	1050	84%	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	⊘
BRASS	38%	1250	700	56%	⊘	8	8	⊘	⊘
BRAUD	53%	875	600	69%	8	8	8	Ø	⊘
BROWN	38%	825	375	45%	A	8	8	Ø	Α-
BRYANT	30%	1250	225	18%	Ø	8	8	Ø	Ø
BUTLER	80%	1225	950	78 %	8	8	8	⊘	O
CARLSON	93%	1250	1075	86%	8	⊘	Ø	Ø	⊘
CARPENTER	15%	1200	250	21%	A	8	8	⊘	Α-
CARRIER	65%	1250	800	64%	8	8	Ø	Ø	Ø
CARTER, R.	10%	1250	375	30%	8	8	8	⊘	8
CARTER, W.	16%	1175	200	17 %	A	8	8	Ø	Ø
CARVER	93%	1250	1150	92%	⊘	⊘	⊘	Ø	⊘
CHASSION	30%	1225	325	27%	8	8	8	Ø	Ø
CHENEVERT	93%	1250	1075	86%	8	⊘	⊘	⊘	⊘
COATES	85%	1250	1075	86%	8	⊘	Ø	Ø	⊘
сох	65%	1250	975	78 %	8	8	8	⊘	⊘
CREWS	93%	1250	1050	84%	8	⊘	Ø	Ø	Ø
DAVIS	94%	1250	1250	100%		⊘	⊘	⊘	⊘
DESHOTEL	85%	1250	850	68%	8	8	8	Ø	Ø
DEVILLIER	83%	1050	800	76 %	O	*	8	Ø	⊘
DEWITT	93%	900	750	83%	8	Ø	⊘	Ø	⊘
DICKERSON	83%	1250	1075	86%	8	⊘	⊘	Ø	⊘
DOMANGUE	85%	1125	1100	98%	Ø	A	A	Ø	©
ECHOLS	93%	1250	1025	82%	⊘	8	8	Ø	⊘
EDMONSTON	85%	1250	1050	84%	8	Ø	⊘	Ø	⊘

METHODOLOGY **NOUSE**

					ccs	INSURANCE REGULATIONS	INSURANCE REGULATIONS	WORKFORCE ALIGNMENT	INVENTORY TAX
					HB75	HB148	HB148	HB373	HB383
					FINALPASSAGE	AMENDMENT	FINALPASSAGE	FINALPASSAGE	FINALPASSAGE
	2024	20	25 SCO	RE	Points(n) 50 Withlabi 61 Againstlabi 37 Absent 0	POINTS(N) 100 WITHLABI 33 AGAINSTLABI 67 ABSENT 3	POINTS(N) 25 WITHLABI 34 AGAINSTLABI 68 ABSENT 0	POINTS (Y) 25 WITH LABI 101 AGAINST LABI 0 ABSENT 3	POINTS(Y) 75 WITHLABI 98 AGAINSTLABI 4 ABSENT 2
		POSSIBLE	EARNED	SCORE	EXCUSED 7	EXCUSED 2	EXCUSED 3	EXCUSED 1	EXCUSED 1
EGAN	93%	1250	1050	84%	8	⊘	⊘	⊘	●
EMERSON	85%	1250	950	76%	8	8	8	Ø	●
FARNUM	92%	1250	950	76%	8	8	8	⊘	O
FIRMENT	93%	1250	1075	86%	8	S	⊘	Ø	⊘
FISHER	24%	1200	500	42%	A	8	8	⊘	●
FONTENOT	88%	1250	950	76%	⊘	8	8	⊘	⊘
FREEMAN	53%	500	325	N/A	⊘	8	8	⊘	A
FREIBERG	100%	1250	1250	100%	⊘	Ø	Ø	Ø	⊘
GADBERRY	85%	1250	1025	82 %	⊘	8	8	⊘	⊘
GALLE	93%	1250	1075	86%	8	⊘	⊘	Ø	⊘
GEYMANN	58%	1175	1000	85%	8	⊘	⊘	⊘	⊘
GLORIOSO	78%	1250	1025	82 %	Ø	8	8	⊘	⊘
GREEN		1250	300	24%	⊘	8	8	⊘	⊘
HEBERT	85%	1125	1025	91%	⊘	Ø	Ø	⊘	⊘
HENRY	93%	1150	1075	93%	⊘	✓	⊘	⊘	⊘
HILFERTY	43%	1250	525	42%	⊘	8	8	⊘	⊘
HORTON	85%	1250	925	74 %	8	8	8	⊘	⊘
HUGHES	45%	1250	450	36%	⊘	8	8	⊘	⊘
ILLG	93%	1250	1150	92%	✓	⊘	⊘	⊘	⊘
JACKSON	50%	1200	650	54%	A	8	8	Ø	⊘
JOHNSON, M.	92%	1250	1025	82%	⊘	8	8	⊘	⊘
JOHNSON, T.	40%	1225	625	51 %	⊘	8	8	Ø	⊘
JORDAN	38%	1250	500	40%	⊘	8	8	⊘	O
KERNER	85%	900	575	64%	⊘	8	8	⊘	⊘
KNOX	38%	1250	525	42%	⊘	8	8	⊘	⊘
LACOMBE	50%	1250	825	66%	O	8	8	⊘	⊘
LAFLEUR	65%	1125	525	47%	⊘	8	8	⊘	⊘
LANDRY, J.	85%	1250	1050	84%	8	⊘	8	⊘	⊘
LANDRY, M.	15%	1250	450	36%	8	8	8	⊘	✓
LANDRY, T.		675	425	N/A	⊘	A	A	A	⊘
LARVADAIN	8%	1250	450	36%	○	8	8	~	O
LYONS	28%	1250	500	40%	⊘	8	8	⊘	⊘
MACK	55%	1250	875	70%	8	8	8	⊘	O
MARCELLE	23%	1250	325	26%	⊘	8	8	Α-	⊘
								A -	
MCCORMICK	90%	1250	900	72%	8	⊘	⊘		8

METHODOLOGY

					ccs	INSURANCE REGULATIONS	INSURANCE REGULATIONS	WORKFORCE ALIGNMENT	INVENTORY TAX
					HB75	HB148	HB148	HB373	HB383
					FINALPASSAGE	AMENDMENT	FINAL PASSAGE	FINAL PASSAGE	FINALPASSAGE
→ CONTINUED FROM					POINTS(N) 50 WITHLABI 61	POINTS(N) 100 WITH LABI 33	POINTS(N) 25 WITH LABI 34	POINTS(Y) 25 WITH LABI 101	POINTS(Y) 75 WITHLABI 98
	2024		25 SCO		AGAINSTLABI 37 ABSENT 0	AGAINSTLABI 67 ABSENT 3	AGAINSTLABI 68 ABSENT 0	AGAINSTLABI 0 ABSENT 3	AGAINSTLABI 4 ABSENT 2
MODADI AND		POSSIBLE	EARNED	SCORE	EXCUSED 7	EXCUSED 2	EXCUSED 3	EXCUSED 1	EXCUSED 1
MCFARLAND	84%	1225	1000 925	82 % 74 %	⊘	8	8	⊘	
MCMAHEN MCMAKIN	80%	1250 1250	1150	92%	⊘	₩	⊗	⊘	⊘
	100%	1175	1075			⊘			
MELERINE	100%			91%	A Ø	8	⊘	♥	♥
MENA	8%	1250	500	40%	⊘	×	8	⊘	
MILLER	23%	1250	500	40%	⊘	8	8	O	♥
MOORE	17%	1250	350	28%			8	⊘	
MUSCARELLO	53%	1250	875	70%	8	8	8	Ø	O
NEWELL	8%	1250	500	40%		8	8	⊘	♥
ORGERON	93%	1200	1200	100%	O	⊘	⊘	S	♥
OWEN	85%	1250	1050	84%	8	⊘	O	⊘	⊘
PHELPS	8%	1250	275	22%	O	8	8	Α-	S
RISER	73%	1175	925	79%	⊘	*	*	⊘	♥
ROMERO	84%	1250	950	76%	8	8	8	Ø	⊘
SCHAMERHORN	85%	1250	975	78 %	8	⊘	O	⊘	8
SCHLEGEL	93%	1250	1000	80%	⊘	8	8	⊘	⊘
SPELL		1250	1000	80%	⊘	×	8	⊘	⊘
ST. BLANC	93%	1250	1025	82%	Ø	8	8	⊘	⊘
STAGNI	20%	1250	900	72 %	8	8	8	⊘	✓
TARVER	93%	1050	900	86%	8	Ø	⊘	Ø	⊘
TAYLOR	38%	1250	500	40%	Ø	8	8	⊘	⊘
THOMAS	93%	1250	1025	82 %	Ø	8	8	Ø	S
THOMPSON	85%	875	525	60%	8	Α-	A	⊘	⊘
TURNER	80%	1250	1025	82 %	Ø	8	8	Ø	Ø
VENTRELLA	55%	1225	850	69%	8	×	8	⊘	⊘
VILLIO	93%	1250	1025	82%	Ø	8	8	Ø	⊘
WALTERS	33%	1250	500	40%	⊘	8	8	⊘	⊘
WILDER	85%	1250	1000	80%	8	Ø	⊘	⊘	⊘
WILEY	88%	1250	925	74%	⊘	8	×	⊘	⊘
WILLARD	8%	1250	500	40%	Ø	8	8	⊘	⊘
WRIGHT	92%	1200	1075	90%	A	⊘	⊘	⊘	⊘
WYBLE	80%	1250	1125	90%	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	⊘
YOUNG	30%	1250	450	36%	⊘	Д-	×	Α-	⊘
ZERINGUE	100%	1250	1225	98%	⊘	Ø	Ø	Ø	⊘

METHODOLOGY

						COMPARATIVE			
					REV. TRUSTS	FAULT	NO PAY, NO PLAY	HOUSLEY	PIPELINES
					HB427	HB431	HB434	HB450	HB494
					FINALPASSAGE POINTS(Y) 25	Final Passage Points (y) 175	FINALPASSAGE POINTS(Y) 75	FINALPASSAGE POINTS(Y) 75	FINALPASSAGE POINTS(Y) 25
	2024	20	025 SCO	RE	WITHLABI 63 AGAINSTLABI 23	WITH LABI 67 AGAINST LABI 26	Withlabi 76 Againstlabi 14	WITHLABI 68 AGAINSTLABI 24	WITHLABI 68 AGAINSTLABI 26
		POSSIBLE	EARNED	SCORE	ABSENT 11 EXCUSED 8	ABSENT 6 EXCUSED 6	ABSENT 9 EXCUSED 6	ABSENT 6 EXCUSED 7	ABSENT 6 EXCUSED 5
ADAMS	30%	1250	700	56%	8	8	✓	⊘	⊘
AMEDEE	75%	1250	975	78 %	⊘	⊘	Ø	Ø	8
BACALA	86%	1250	1125	90%	⊘	✓	⊘	Ø	⊘
BAGLEY	65%	1250	975	78 %	⊘	⊘	⊘	⊘	⊘
BAMBURG	88%	1250	1150	92%	⊘	⊘	✓	⊘	⊘
BAYHAM	75%	1175	1050	89%	⊘	Ø	⊘	⊘	A
BEAULLIEU	80%	1225	975	80%	⊘	⊘	⊘	⊘	⊘
BERAULT	85%	1250	1150	92%	⊘	⊘	Ø	Ø	Ø
BILLINGS	88%	1250	1025	82%	⊘	⊘	⊘	⊘	⊘
BOURRIAQUE	80%	1250	1000	80%	⊘	⊘	Ø	Ø	⊘
BOYD	45%	1250	625	50%	8	8	8	8	8
BOYER	78%	1250	1050	84%	⊘	⊘	Ø	Ø	⊘
BRASS	38%	1250	700	56%	8	8	⊘	⊘	✓
BRAUD	53%	875	600	69%	A	A	A	A	A
BROWN	38%	825	375	45%					
BRYANT	30%	1250	225	18%	Α-	8	А-	8	⊘
BUTLER	80%	1225	950	78%	⊘	⊘	⊘	Ø	⊘
CARLSON	93%	1250	1075	86%	⊘	⊘	Ø	Ø	⊘
CARPENTER	15%	1200	250	21%	*	8	8	8	Α-
CARRIER	65%	1250	800	64%	⊘	Α-	Ø	Ø	⊘
CARTER, R.	10%	1250	375	30%	8	8	⊘	8	8
CARTER, W.	16%	1175	200	17%	Α-	Α-	А-	Α-	8
CARVER	93%	1250	1150	92%	⊘	⊘	⊘	⊘	♥
CHASSION	30%	1225	325	27%	Α-	8	Α-	Α-	Α-
CHENEVERT	93%	1250	1075	86%	⊘	⊘	⊘	⊘	O
COATES	85%	1250	1075	86%	⊘	Ø	Ø	O	O
сох	65%	1250	975	78%	⊘	⊘	⊘	⊘	O
CREWS	93%	1250	1050	84%	⊘	•	Ø	•	8
DAVIS	94%	1250	1250	100%	⊘	⊘	Ø	⊘	O
DESHOTEL	85%	1250	850	68%	⊘	©	Ø	Ø	O
DEVILLIER	83%	1050	800	76%	⊘	⊘	⊘	⊘	⊘
DEWITT	93%	900	750	83%	A	A	A	A	O
DICKERSON	83%	1250	1075	86%	⊘	⊘	⊘	⊘	O
DOMANGUE	85%	1125	1100	98%	⊘	Ø	Ø	O	O
ECHOLS	93%	1250	1025	82%	⊘	⊘	⊘	⊘	O
EDMONSTON	85%	1250	1050	84%		⊘	⊘		8

METHODOLOGY

house

					DEV TOLICE	COMPARATIVE FAULT	NO PAY, NO PLAY	HOUSLEY	DIDELINIES
					REV. TRUSTS				PIPELINES
					HB427 FINAL PASSAGE	HB431 FINAL PASSAGE	HB434 FINAL PASSAGE	HB450 FINALPASSAGE	HB494 FINAL PASSAGE
					POINTS(Y) 25	POINTS(Y) 175	POINTS(Y) 75	POINTS(Y) 75	POINTS(Y) 25
	2024	20)25 SCO	RE	WITHLABI 63 AGAINST LABI 23	WITHLABI 67 AGAINSTLABI 26	WITHLABI 76 AGAINSTLABI 14	WITHLABI 68 AGAINSTLABI 24	WITHLABI 68 AGAINSTLABI 26
		POSSIBLE	EARNED	SCORE	ABSENT 11 EXCUSED 8	ABSENT 6 EXCUSED 6	ABSENT 9 EXCUSED 6	ABSENT 6 EXCUSED 7	ABSENT 6 EXCUSED 5
EGAN	93%	1250	1050	84%	⊘	⊘	⊘	⊘	×
EMERSON	85%	1250	950	76%	Ø	⊘	⊘	⊘	⊘
FARNUM	92%	1250	950	76%	⊘	⊘	⊘	Ø	⊘
FIRMENT	93%	1250	1075	86%	Ø	⊘	Ø	Ø	⊘
FISHER		1200	500	42%	А-	8	⊘	8	8
FONTENOT	88%	1250	950	76%	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	⊘
FREEMAN	53%	500	325	N/A	A	A	A	A	A
FREIBERG	100%	1250	1250	100%	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	⊘
GADBERRY	85%	1250	1025	82%	⊘	⊘	⊘	⊘	⊘
GALLE	93%	1250	1075	86%	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø
GEYMANN	58%	1175	1000	85%	⊘	⊘	⊘	⊘	⊘
GLORIOSO	78%	1250	1025	82%	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	⊘
GREEN		1250	300	24%	⊘	8	×	8	8
HEBERT	85%	1125	1025	91%	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	⊘
HENRY	93%	1150	1075	93%	⊘	Ø	Ø	⊘	⊘
HILFERTY	43%	1250	525	42%	Α-	A-	Α-	A-	A
HORTON	85%	1250	925	74 %	⊘	Ø	Ø	⊘	A-
HUGHES	45%	1250	450	36%	8	8	Ø	8	8
ILLG	93%	1250	1150	92%	⊘	⊘	⊘	⊘	⊘
JACKSON	50%	1200	650	54%	Α-	8	Ø	Ø	⊘
JOHNSON, M.	92%	1250	1025	82%	⊘	Ø	Ø	Ø	⊘
JOHNSON, T.	40%	1225	625	51 %	A	8	Ø	8	⊘
JORDAN	38%	1250	500	40%	8	8	8	8	8
KERNER	85%	900	575	64%	A	A	A	A	⊘
KNOX	38%	1250	525	42%	8	8	×	8	8
LACOMBE	50%	1250	825	66%	8	Ø	Ø	8	Ø
LAFLEUR	65%	1125	525	47%	8	8	8	8	⊘
LANDRY, J.	85%	1250	1050	84%	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø
LANDRY, M.	15%	1250	450	36%	×	8	×	8	8
LANDRY, T.		675	425	N/A	A	A	A	A	A-
LARVADAIN	8%	1250	450	36%	×	8	×	8	8
LYONS	28%	1250	500	40%	8	8	8	8	8
MACK	55%	1250	875	70%	O	⊘	Ø	⊘	⊘
MARCELLE	23%	1250	325	26%	8	8	8	8	8
MCCORMICK	90%	1250	900	72 %	⊘	⊘	⊘	⊘	8

					REV. TRUSTS	COMPARATIVE FAULT	NO PAY, NO PLAY	HOUSLEY	PIPELINES
					HB427 FINALPASSAGE	HB431	HB434 FINALPASSAGE	HB450 FINALPASSAGE	HB494 FINALPASSAGE
	2024	20	25 SCO	DE	POINTS(Y) 25 WITHLABI 63 AGAINSTLABI 23	POINTS(Y) 175 WITH LABI 67 AGAINST LABI 26	POINTS(Y) 75 WITHLABI 76 AGAINSTLABI 14	POINTS(Y) 75 WITH LABI 68 AGAINST LABI 24	POINTS(Y) 25 WITHLABI 68 AGAINSTLABI 26
	2024	POSSIBLE	EARNED	SCORE	ABSENT 11 EXCUSED 8	ABSENT 6 EXCUSED 6	ABSENT 9 EXCUSED 6	ABSENT 6 EXCUSED 7	ABSENT 6 EXCUSED 5
MCFARLAND	84%	1225	1000	82%	⊘	⊘	⊘	⊘	A
MCMAHEN	80%	1250	925	74%	⊘	⊘	Ø	⊘	⊘
MCMAKIN	100%	1250	1150	92%	⊘	⊘	⊘	⊘	⊘
MELERINE	100%	1175	1075	91%	⊘	⊘	Ø	⊘	⊘
MENA	8%	1250	500	40%	8	×	8	×	×
MILLER	23%	1250	500	40%	8	8	Ø	8	⊘
MOORE	17%	1250	350	28%	8	×	Α-	8	8
MUSCARELLO	53%	1250	875	70%	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø
NEWELL	8%	1250	500	40%	×	×	8	×	*
ORGERON	93%	1200	1200	100%	Ø	⊘	Ø	⊘	⊘
OWEN	85%	1250	1050	84%	O	⊘	⊘	⊘	8
PHELPS	8%	1250	275	22%	8	8	А-	8	8
RISER	73%	1175	925	79%	O	⊘	Ø	A	⊘
ROMERO	84%	1250	950	76%	Ø	⊘	Ø	⊘	⊘
SCHAMERHORN	85%	1250	975	78%	⊘	⊘	⊘	⊘	8
SCHLEGEL	93%	1250	1000	80%	Ø	⊘	Ø	⊘	⊘
SPELL		1250	1000	80%	×	⊘	⊘	⊘	⊘
ST. BLANC	93%	1250	1025	82%	Ø	⊘	Ø	⊘	⊘
STAGNI	20%	1250	900	72 %	×	⊘	⊘	8	⊘
TARVER	93%	1050	900	86%	Ø	⊘	Ø	⊘	⊘
TAYLOR	38%	1250	500	40%	×	8	8	8	8
THOMAS	93%	1250	1025	82%	Ø	⊘	Ø	⊘	⊘
THOMPSON	85%	875	525	60%	A	A	A	A	⊘
TURNER	80%	1250	1025	82%	Ø	9	Ø	Ø	⊘
VENTRELLA	55%	1225	850	69%	A	⊘	⊘	⊘	⊘
VILLIO	93%	1250	1025	82%	Ø	⊘	Ø	⊘	⊘
WALTERS	33%	1250	500	40%	А-	Α-	А-	A-	8
WILDER	85%	1250	1000	80%	A-	⊘	Ø	⊘	⊘
WILEY	88%	1250	925	74%	А-	⊘	⊘	⊘	⊘
WILLARD	8%	1250	500	40%	8	8	8	8	8
WRIGHT	92%	1200	1075	90%	⊘	⊘	⊘	⊘	⊘
WYBLE	80%	1250	1125	90%	Ø	9	Ø	⊘	⊘
YOUNG	30%	1250	450	36%	A -	Α-	А-	A-	Α-
ZERINGUE	100%	1250	1225	98%	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	⊘

					DOTD REORG	SOLAR REGS	ONE DOOR LWC	ONE DOOR DCFS	DOTD REORG
					HB 528 FINAL PASSAGE	HB615 FINALPASSAGE	HB617 FINAL PASSAGE	HB624 FINALPASSAGE	HB640 FINALPASSAGE
	2024	20	25 SCO	RE	POINTS(Y) 50 WITHLABI 97 AGAINSTLABI 0 ABSENT 5	POINTS(N) 25 WITHLABI 53 AGAINSTLABI 46	POINTS(Y) 25 WITHLABI 98 AGAINSTLABI 0 ABSENT 4	POINTS(Y) 100 WITHLABI 98 AGAINST LABI 0 ABSENT 6	POINTS(Y) 50 WITHLABI 96 AGAINST LABI 2 ABSENT 7
		POSSIBLE	EARNED	SCORE	EXCUSED 3	ABSENT 0 EXCUSED 6	EXCUSED 3	EXCUSED 1	EXCUSED 4
ADAMS	30%	1250	700	56%	⊘	⊘	⊘	⊘	⊘
AMEDEE		1250	975	78 %	Ø	8	Ø	Ø	⊘
BACALA	86%	1250	1125	90%	O	⊘	Ø	Ø	Ø
BAGLEY	65%	1250	975	78 %	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø
BAMBURG	88%	1250	1150	92%	O	⊘	Ø	Ø	Ø
BAYHAM		1175	1050	89%	Ø	8	Ø	Ø	A
BEAULLIEU	80%	1225	975	80%	•	8	A	⊘	Ø
BERAULT	85%	1250	1150	92%	Ø	⊘	Ø	Ø	Ø
BILLINGS	88%	1250	1025	82%	O	⊘	Ø	Ø	Ø
BOURRIAQUE	80%	1250	1000	80%	Ø	8	Ø	Ø	Ø
BOYD	45%	1250	625	50%	⊘	⊘	⊘	⊘	⊘
BOYER	78%	1250	1050	84%	Ø	8	Ø	Ø	⊘
BRASS	38%	1250	700	56%	O	⊘	⊘	⊘	✓
BRAUD	53%	875	600	69%	Ø	8	Ø	Ø	⊘
BROWN	38%	825	375	45%	⊘	8	⊘	⊘	✓
BRYANT	30%	1250	225	18%	Α-	Ø	Ø	A-	A-
BUTLER	80%	1225	950	78 %	⊘	⊘	A	⊘	✓
CARLSON	93%	1250	1075	86%	Ø	8	Ø	Ø	⊘
CARPENTER	15%	1200	250	21%	O	⊘	Α-	Α-	✓
CARRIER	65%	1250	800	64%	Ø	8	Ø	Ø	⊘
CARTER, R.	10%	1250	375	30%	⊘	8	⊘	⊘	⊘
CARTER, W.	16%	1175	200	17 %	Ø	A	Α-	Α-	⊘
CARVER	93%	1250	1150	92%	⊘	⊘	⊘	⊘	✓
CHASSION	30%	1225	325	27%	Ø	A	Ø	Ø	⊘
CHENEVERT	93%	1250	1075	86%	O	8	⊘	⊘	✓
COATES	85%	1250	1075	86%	Ø	8	Ø	Ø	Ø
сох	65%	1250	975	78%	O	8	Ø	Ø	Ø
CREWS	93%	1250	1050	84%	Ø	8	Ø	Ø	Ø
DAVIS	94%	1250	1250	100%	O	⊘	⊘	⊘	⊘
DESHOTEL	85%	1250	850	68%	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	Α-
DEVILLIER	83%	1050	800	76%	O	8	A	A	⊘
DEWITT	93%	900	750	83%	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	⊘
DICKERSON	83%	1250	1075	86%		8	⊘	⊘	⊘
DOMANGUE	85%	1125	1100	98%	Ø	8	Ø	Ø	⊘
ECHOLS	93%	1250	1025	82%	⊘	⊘	⊘	⊘	⊘
EDMONSTON	85%	1250	1050	84%	Ø	8	Ø	⊘	Ø

					DOTD REORG	SOLAR REGS	ONE DOOR LWC	ONE DOOR DCFS	DOTD REORG
					HB528	HB615	HB617	HB624	HB640
					FINALPASSAGE	FINALPASSAGE	FINALPASSAGE	FINALPASSAGE	FINALPASSAGE
	2024	20	25 SCO	RE	POINTS(Y) 50 WITH LABI 97 AGAINST LABI 0 ABSENT 5	POINTS(N) 25 WITHLABI 53 AGAINSTLABI 46 ABSENT 0	POINTS(Y) 25 WITHLABI 98 AGAINSTLABI 0 ABSENT 4	POINTS(Y) 100 WITHLABI 98 AGAINSTLABI 0 ABSENT 6	POINTS(Y) 50 WITHLABI 96 AGAINSTLABI 2 ABSENT 3
		POSSIBLE	EARNED	SCORE	EXCUSED 3	EXCUSED 6	EXCUSED 3	EXCUSED 1	EXCUSED 4
EGAN	93%	1250	1050	84%	\bigcirc	8	⊘	⊘	
EMERSON	85%	1250	950	76%	Ø	8	Ø	Ø	⊘
FARNUM	92%	1250	950	76%	O	8	⊘	⊘	⊘
FIRMENT	93%	1250	1075	86%	Ø	8	Ø	Ø	Ø
FISHER	24%	1200	500	42%	O	⊘	⊘	⊘	Α-
FONTENOT	88%	1250	950	76%	Ø	8	Ø	Ø	Ø
FREEMAN	53%	500	325	N/A	A	A	⊘	⊘	⊘
FREIBERG	100%	1250	1250	100%	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø
GADBERRY	85%	1250	1025	82%	O	⊘	⊘	⊘	⊘
GALLE	93%	1250	1075	86%	Ø	8	Ø	Ø	Ø
GEYMANN	58%	1175	1000	85%	⊘	8	✓	⊘	⊘
GLORIOSO	78%	1250	1025	82%	Ø	Ø	⊘	⊘	Ø
GREEN		1250	300	24%	⊘	⊘	Α-	А-	
HEBERT	85%	1125	1025	91%	Ø	Ø	⊘	Ø	
HENRY	93%	1150	1075	93%	⊘	8	⊘	⊘	
HILFERTY	43%	1250	525	42%	⊘	8	⊘	⊘	Ø
HORTON	85%	1250	925	74%	⊘	8	⊘	⊘	O
HUGHES	45%	1250	450	36%	Ø	⊘	A-	A-	Ø
ILLG	93%	1250	1150	92%	⊘	⊘	⊘	⊘	⊘
JACKSON	50%	1200	650	54%	⊘	⊘	⊘	Ø	Ø
JOHNSON, M.	92%	1250	1025	82%	⊘	⊘	Ø	⊘	Ø
JOHNSON, T.	40%	1225	625	51%	Ø	⊘	Ø	Ø	⊘
JORDAN	38%	1250	500	40%	⊘	⊘	Ø	⊘	O
KERNER	85%	900	575	64%	⊘	8	Ø	⊘	Ø
KNOX	38%	1250	525	42%	⊘	⊘	Ø	⊘	⊘
LACOMBE	50%	1250	825	66%	⊘	8	Ø	Ø	Ø
LAFLEUR	65%	1125	525	47%	⊘	⊘	⊘	⊘	O
LANDRY, J.	85%	1250	1050	84%	⊘	8	Ø	⊘	Ø
LANDRY, M.	15%	1250	450	36%	⊘	⊘	Ø	⊘	O
LANDRY, T.		675	425	N/A	A	A	Ø	⊘	A
LARVADAIN	8%	1250	450	36%	⊘	Ø	⊘	⊘	8
LYONS	28%	1250	500	40%	Ø	Ø	Ø	⊘	Ø
MACK	55%	1250	875	70%	O	8	⊘	⊘	⊘
MARCELLE	23%	1250	325	26%	Α-	Ø	Ø	Α-	⊘
MCCORMICK	90%	1250	900	72 %	~	8	⊘	⊘	⊘
		1230	300	12/0					

					DOTD REORG	SOLAR REGS	ONE DOOR	ONE DOOR DCFS	DOTD REORG
					HB528	HB615	HB617	HB624	HB640
					FINALPASSAGE	FINALPASSAGE	FINALPASSAGE	FINALPASSAGE	FINALPASSAGE
					POINTS(Y) 50 WITHLABI 97	POINTS(N) 25 WITHLABI 53	POINTS(Y) 25 WITHLABI 98	POINTS(Y) 100 WITHLABI 98	POINTS(Y) 50 WITHLABI 96
→ CONTINUED FROM	2024	20	25 SCO	RE	AGAINSTLABI 0 ABSENT 5	AGAINSTLABI 46 ABSENT 0	AGAINSTLABI 0 ABSENT 4	AGAINSTLABI 0 ABSENT 6	AGAINSTLABI 2 ABSENT 3
PREVIOUS PAGE		POSSIBLE	EARNED	SCORE	EXCUSED 3	EXCUSED 6	EXCUSED 3	EXCUSED 1	EXCUSED 4
MCFARLAND	84%	1225	1000	82%	Ø	⊘	⊘	⊘	⊘
MCMAHEN	80%	1250	925	74 %	⊘	8	Ø	Ø	⊘
MCMAKIN	100%	1250	1150	92%	⊘	⊘	⊘	⊘	⊘
MELERINE	100%	1175	1075	91%	⊘	A	Ø	Ø	⊘
MENA	8%	1250	500	40%	⊘	Ø	⊘	⊘	⊘
MILLER	23%	1250	500	40%	⊘	Ø	Ø	Ø	⊘
MOORE	17%	1250	350	28%	Α-	Ø	⊘	⊘	⊘
MUSCARELLO	53%	1250	875	70%	Ø	8	⊘	Ø	⊘
NEWELL	8%	1250	500	40%	⊘	Ø	Ø	⊘	⊘
ORGERON	93%	1200	1200	100%	Ø	Ø	Ø	⊘	A
OWEN	85%	1250	1050	84%	⊘	8	Ø	Ø	⊘
PHELPS	8%	1250	275	22%	А-	Ø	Ø	Ø	8
RISER	73%	1175	925	79%	⊘	8	Ø	Ø	⊘
ROMERO	84%	1250	950	76%	Ø	8	Ø	Ø	⊘
SCHAMERHORN	85%	1250	975	78%	⊘	8	Ø	Ø	⊘
SCHLEGEL	93%	1250	1000	80%	⊘	8	Ø	Ø	⊘
SPELL		1250	1000	80%	⊘	Ø	⊘	⊘	⊘
ST. BLANC	93%	1250	1025	82%	⊘	Ø	Ø	Ø	⊘
STAGNI	20%	1250	900	72 %	⊘	Ø	Ø	Ø	⊘
TARVER	93%	1050	900	86%	A	A	Ø	⊘	A
TAYLOR	38%	1250	500	40%	⊘	Ø	Ø	Ø	⊘
THOMAS	93%	1250	1025	82%	⊘	Ø	Ø	Ø	⊘
THOMPSON	85%	875	525	60%	⊘	8	⊘	⊘	⊘
TURNER	80%	1250	1025	82%	Ø	Ø	Ø	⊘	⊘
VENTRELLA	55%	1225	850	69%	⊘	8	⊘	⊘	⊘
VILLIO	93%	1250	1025	82%	Ø	Ø	⊘	⊘	⊘
WALTERS	33%	1250	500	40%	Ø	Ø	Ø	⊘	⊘
WILDER	85%	1250	1000	80%	Ø	8	⊘	Ø	⊘
WILEY	88%	1250	925	74%	Ø	⊘	⊘	⊘	⊘
WILLARD	8%	1250	500	40%	Ø	⊘	⊘	Ø	Ø
WRIGHT	92%	1200	1075	90%	Ø	8	Ø	⊘	⊘
WYBLE	80%	1250	1125	90%	⊘	8	Ø	Ø	⊘
YOUNG	30%	1250	450	36%	А-	⊘	Ø	⊘	⊘
ZERINGUE	100%	1250	1225	98%	Ø	8	Ø	⊘	⊘

					GOOD FAITH	MEDICAL TRANSPARENCY	LEGACY LAWSUITS	T.R.U.S.T. ACT
					SB111 FINALPASSAGE	SB231 FINALPASSAGE	SB244	SB245 FINALPASSAGE
					POINTS(Y) 75 WITHLABI 56	POINTS(Y) 125 WITHLABI 81	POINTS(Y) 100 WITHLABI 25	POINTS(Y) 50 WITHLABI 72
	2024	20	25 SCO	RE	AGAINSTLABI 44 ABSENT 2	AGAINSTLABI 15 ABSENT 6	AGAINSTLABI 71 ABSENT 7	AGAINSTLABI 28 ABSENT 5
		POSSIBLE	EARNED	SCORE	EXCUSED 3	EXCUSED 3	EXCUSED 2	EXCUSED 0
ADAMS	30%	1250	700	56%	8	⊘	×	⊘
AMEDEE		1250	975	78 %	⊘	Ø	8	⊘
BACALA	86%	1250	1125	90%	✓	✓	⊘	⊘
BAGLEY	65%	1250	975	78 %	⊘	Ø	8	⊘
BAMBURG	88%	1250	1150	92%	⊘	⊘	8	⊘
BAYHAM		1175	1050	89%	⊘	⊘	Ø	⊘
BEAULLIEU	80%	1225	975	80%	⊘	⊘	8	⊘
BERAULT	85%	1250	1150	92%	⊘	Ø	⊗	⊘
BILLINGS	88%	1250	1025	82%	⊘	⊘	8	⊘
BOURRIAQUE	80%	1250	1000	80%	⊘	Ø	8	⊘
BOYD	45%	1250	625	50%	8	Ø	⊘	8
BOYER	78%	1250	1050	84%	8	Ø	А-	⊘
BRASS	38%	1250	700	56%	8	Ø	×	8
BRAUD	53%	875	600	69%	8	Ø	Ø	Ø
BROWN	38%	825	375	45%	8	Ø	×	8
BRYANT	30%	1250	225	18%	8	Α-	8	8
BUTLER	80%	1225	950	78 %	⊘	Ø	×	⊘
CARLSON	93%	1250	1075	86%	⊘	Ø	8	⊘
CARPENTER	15%	1200	250	21%	Α-	8	⊘	8
CARRIER	65%	1250	800	64%	⊘	Ø	8	⊘
CARTER, R.	10%	1250	375	30%	8	8	8	⊘
CARTER, W.	16%	1175	200	17 %	8	Α-	А-	8
CARVER	93%	1250	1150	92%	⊘	Ø	⊘	⊘
CHASSION	30%	1225	325	27%	8	8	Α-	Α-
CHENEVERT	93%	1250	1075	86%	⊘	Ø	8	⊘
COATES	85%	1250	1075	86%	✓	Ø	8	Ø
сох	65%	1250	975	78%	8	Ø	Ø	⊘
CREWS	93%	1250	1050	84%	⊘	Ø	8	Ø
DAVIS	94%	1250	1250	100%	⊘	⊘	⊘	⊘
DESHOTEL	85%	1250	850	68%	8	Ø	8	Ø
DEVILLIER	83%	1050	800	76%	⊘	Ø	8	⊘
DEWITT	93%	900	750	83%	✓	Ø	8	Ø
DICKERSON	83%	1250	1075	86%	⊘	Ø	8	Ø
DOMANGUE	85%	1125	1100	98%	⊘	Ø	⊘	Ø
ECHOLS	93%	1250	1025	82%	⊘	⊘	8	⊘
EDMONSTON	85%	1250	1050	84%	•	Ø	8	⊘

					GOOD FAITH	MEDICAL. TRANSPARENCY	LEGACY LAWSUITS	T.R.U.S.T. ACT
					SB111	SB231	SB244	SB245
					FINALPASSAGE	FINALPASSAGE	AMENDMENT	FINALPASSAGE
					POINTS(Y) 75 WITHLABI 56	POINTS(Y) 125 WITHLABI 81	POINTS(Y) 100 WITH LABI 25	POINTS(Y) 50 WITHLABI 72
→ CONTINUED FROM PREVIOUS PAGE	2024	2025 SCORE			AGAINSTLABI 44 ABSENT 2	AGAINSTLABI 15 ABSENT 6	AGAINSTLABI 71 ABSENT 7	AGAINSTLABI 28 ABSENT 5
		POSSIBLE	EARNED	SCORE	EXCUSED 3	EXCUSED 3	EXCUSED 2	EXCUSED 0
EGAN	93%	1250	1050	84%	Ø	⊘	8	✓
EMERSON	85%	1250	950	76%	Ø	Ø	8	⊘
FARNUM	92%	1250	950	76 %	⊘	⊘	8	✓
FIRMENT	93%	1250	1075	86%	Ø	⊘	8	⊘
FISHER		1200	500	42%	×	✓	8	8
FONTENOT	88%	1250	950	76 %	Ø	Ø	8	А-
FREEMAN	53%	500	325	N/A	O	A	A	8
FREIBERG	100%	1250	1250	100%	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø
GADBERRY	85%	1250	1025	82%	⊘	✓	8	✓
GALLE	93%	1250	1075	86%	Ø	✓	8	⊘
GEYMANN	58%	1175	1000	85%	A	✓	*	✓
GLORIOSO	78%	1250	1025	82%	Ø	Ø	8	✓
GREEN		1250	300	24%	Α-	А-	А-	Α-
HEBERT	85%	1125	1025	91%	⊘	A	8	✓
HENRY	93%	1150	1075	93%	⊘	⊘	A	Α-
HILFERTY	43%	1250	525	42%	8	А-	Ø	✓
HORTON	85%	1250	925	74 %	⊘	⊘	8	⊘
HUGHES	45%	1250	450	36%	8	А-	Ø	8
ILLG	93%	1250	1150	92%	⊘	⊘	8	⊘
JACKSON	50%	1200	650	54 %	8	Ø	8	8
JOHNSON, M.	92%	1250	1025	82%	⊘	⊘	8	⊘
JOHNSON, T.	40%	1225	625	51 %	8	Ø	8	8
JORDAN	38%	1250	500	40%	8	8	Ø	8
KERNER	85%	900	575	64%	8	Ø	8	Ø
KNOX	38%	1250	525	42%	8	⊘	Α-	8
LACOMBE	50%	1250	825	66%	8	Ø	8	Ø
LAFLEUR	65%	1125	525	47%	8	A	Ø	8
LANDRY, J.	85%	1250	1050	84%	Ø	Ø	8	Ø
LANDRY, M.	15%	1250	450	36%	8	8	Ø	8
LANDRY, T.		675	425	N/A	8	Ø	А-	8
LARVADAIN	8%	1250	450	36%	8	8	⊘	8
LYONS	28%	1250	500	40%	8	8	Ø	8
MACK	55%	1250	875	70%	8	⊘	8	⊘
MARCELLE	23%	1250	325	26%	8	8	©	8
MCCORMICK	90%	1250	900	72 %	8	Ø	8	⊘

					GOOD FAITH	MEDICAL TRANSPARENCY	LEGACY LAWSUITS	T.R.U.S.T. ACT
					SB111 FINALPASSAGE	SB231 FINALPASSAGE	SB244	SB245 FINAL PASSAGE
					POINTS(Y) 75	POINTS(Y) 125	POINTS(Y) 100	POINTS(Y) 50
→ CONTINUED FROM	2024	2025 SCORE			WITHLABI 56 AGAINSTLABI 44	WITHLABI 81 AGAINSTLABI 15	WITHLABI 25 AGAINSTLABI 71 ABSENT 7	WITHLABI 72 AGAINSTLABI 28 ABSENT 5
		POSSIBLE	EARNED	SCORE	ABSENT 2 EXCUSED 3	ABSENT 6 EXCUSED 3	ABSENT 7 EXCUSED 2	EXCUSED 0
MCFARLAND	84%	1225	1000	82%	⊘	⊘	8	⊘
MCMAHEN	80%	1250	925	74 %	8	⊘	8	⊘
MCMAKIN	100%	1250	1150	92%	⊘	✓	8	⊘
MELERINE	100%	1175	1075	91%	⊘	Ø	8	⊘
MENA	8%	1250	500	40%	8	8		8
MILLER	23%	1250	500	40%	8	Α-	8	8
MOORE	17%	1250	350	28%	8	8	8	8
MUSCARELLO	53%	1250	875	70 %	8	⊘	8	⊘
NEWELL	8%	1250	500	40%	8	8	⊘	8
ORGERON	93%	1200	1200	100%	Ø	Ø	⊘	⊘
OWEN	85%	1250	1050	84%	⊘	✓	8	⊘
PHELPS	8%	1250	275	22%	8	8	Α-	8
RISER	73%	1175	925	79 %	⊘	⊘	8	⊘
ROMERO	84%	1250	950	76 %	⊘	⊘	8	Ø
SCHAMERHORN	85%	1250	975	78 %	⊘	⊘	8	⊘
SCHLEGEL	93%	1250	1000	80%	⊘	⊘	8	⊘
SPELL		1250	1000	80%	⊘	✓	8	⊘
ST. BLANC	93%	1250	1025	82%	Ø	Ø	8	⊘
STAGNI	20%	1250	900	72 %	8	✓	⊘	⊘
TARVER	93%	1050	900	86%	A	⊘	8	⊘
TAYLOR	38%	1250	500	40%	8	8	⊘	8
THOMAS	93%	1250	1025	82%	Ø	⊘	8	⊘
THOMPSON	85%	875	525	60%	8	⊘	8	⊘
TURNER	80%	1250	1025	82%	Ø	⊘	8	Ø
VENTRELLA	55%	1225	850	69%	8	⊘	8	⊘
VILLIO	93%	1250	1025	82%	Ø	⊘	8	Ø
WALTERS	33%	1250	500	40%	8	8	⊘	8
WILDER	85%	1250	1000	80%	Ø	⊘	8	A-
WILEY	88%	1250	925	74 %	8	⊘	8	⊘
WILLARD	8%	1250	500	40%	8	8	⊘	8
WRIGHT	92%	1200	1075	90%	⊘	⊘	8	⊘
WYBLE	80%	1250	1125	90%	Ø	⊘	8	②
YOUNG	30%	1250	450	36%	8	⊘	8	8
ZERINGUE	100%	1250	1225	98%	Ø	•	Ø	Ø





























VENTURE GLOBAL LNG































































LABISTEST. 1975

www.labi.org